

NMHIX	<b>Board Meeting</b> <b>May 17 from 9 am to 5 pm</b> <b>CNM Workforce Solution</b> <b>5600 Eagle Rock</b> <b>Albuquerque, NM</b>			
<b>Facilitator:</b>	Dr. J.R. Damron			
<b>Appointees Required by State Statutes:</b>	Superintendent of Insurance John Franchini, Santa Fe NM Human Services Department, Cabinet Secretary Sidonie Squier, Santa Fe			
<b>Governor Appointees:</b>	Dr. J.R. Damron Gabriel Parra Ben Slocum Dr. J. Deane Waldman			
<b>Legislative Appointees:</b>	Teresa Gomez, (conference call) Dr. Martin Hickey Dr. Larry Leaming (conference call) Jason Sandel David Shaw			
<b>Absentees:</b>	Terriane Everhart, Patsy Romero			
<b>Attachments:</b>	1. Agenda; NMHIX agenda			
<b>Quorum</b>	Yes			
<b>Agenda Topics:</b>	Call To Order/ Review of Agenda JR Damron			
<b>Discussion:</b>	<b>Conclusions:</b>	<b>Action Items:</b>	<b>Responsibility:</b>	<b>Due:</b>
Meeting called to order at 9:05 am by Dr. J.R. Damron. Introductions/Remarks Reviewed Agenda Reviewed Minutes from April 29 and 30, 2013; They will be approved after the executive session to have more time to review them.				
<b>Agenda Topics:</b>	Executive Session			
<b>Discussion:</b>	<b>Conclusions:</b>	<b>Action Items:</b>	<b>Responsibility:</b>	<b>Due:</b>
		Motion to go into Executive session with the purpose of discussing personnel		

		issues by Jason Sandel Second David Shaw		
<b>Agenda Topics:</b>	Interim Executive Director discussion			
<b>Discussion:</b>	<b>Conclusions:</b>	<b>Action Items:</b>	<b>Responsibility:</b>	<b>Due:</b>
Due to the type of organization the Exchange is, the terminology for Executive Director will not be used. The selection is for an Interim CEO for the Exchange. The interim will have a role until approximately the end of the first quarter of 2014. A national search for a permanent CEO will start in November this year.		Motion to use the terminology of Chief Executive Officer for the Exchange. By Slocum Second, Dr. Deane Waldman  Motion to select Mike Nunez as the interim CEO for the New Mexico Health Insurance Exchange by Jason Sandel Second David Shaw		
<b>Agenda :</b>	Project management vendor and IT system integration vendors -Ben Slocum			
<b>Discussion:</b>	<b>Conclusions:</b>	<b>Action Items:</b>	<b>Responsibility:</b>	<b>Due:</b>
Committee consists of Chairman Ben Slocum, Secretary Squier, David Shaw, Celia Ameline, Robert Drelick, John Adkins, Sean Pearson, Aaron Ezekiel. The previous task force group did tremendous work understanding the objective of a base state exchange; IT experts were involved in the process. The new committee brought the vendors; Get Insured, Deloitte, and Project Consulting Group for additional information. Regardless the model or type of Exchange these vendors are the right ones to choose from.  <u>Program Management RFP</u> During the process, six companies participated				

<p>in the RFP for Program Management: Cambria, Dewpoint, First Data, Kemtah, PCG Consulting &amp; West Monroe Partners</p> <p>The evaluation focus was on:  Leveraging Company PM and HIX experience  Evaluating company resources and subject matter expertise  Based on Evaluation Committee review of proposals, Cambria, First Data, &amp; PCG Consultants were asked to Vendor Interviews  Then there was an interview process and a selection of best and final; First Data; Cambria; PCG.  PCG re-evaluated proposal based on Supportive Exchange Model and reduced fees by approximately \$300K; the committee approved use of consumer assistance services on a time and materials basis;  The IT committee recommendation is PCG</p> <p><u>IT &amp; Systems Integration RFP</u>  Under the IT process, two companies demonstrated through the RFP to complete the process. Those two companies are Deloitte, a large, stable, and nationally recognized organization.  Get Insured dedicated to exchanges, privately held company and also very stable organization. Both are very well qualified companies.</p> <p>The selection process was similar to the Program Manager; the finalists were asked to leverage other states' documentation, artifacts, and system development to the greatest extent possible. Also, propose long term maintenance and operation scenarios that minimize NMHIX IT support effort.  Then we asked for a "Bucket of hours" for</p>		<p>Motion on behalf of the IT sub-committee to utilize Public Consulting Group (PCG) as our program management operation and consulting advisor by Ben Slocum  Second Dr. Hickey</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

<p>unanticipated system changes and contract efficiently and timely. It was asked of the vendor to come back with a new proposal with the recently developed information using a supportive and Hybrid model.</p> <p>The most significant difference between the proposals is the pricing as it relates to hardware and software. Deloitte has an ownership model where we own the software and hardware; they offered a bucket of hours totaling no more than 10 thousand hours for maintenance. Also we would own the hardware, which we will need to maintain so the cost of expertise to bring over and support this system is something to consider.</p> <p>With the Get Insured model the vendor is taking a bigger risk; we do not own software, the vendor is responsible for the changes and updates for State and Federal mandates; also the vendor will own the hardware and be responsible for all the refreshers necessary to continue.</p> <p>Data is owned by the state of New Mexico and under Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act.</p> <p>Interacting with Aspen is only an interface and does not affect the selection of either vendor.</p> <p>The committee will get the flexibility through a Service Level Agreement (SLA) striving for performance guarantees</p> <p>In regard of Medicare, Public Consulting Group will be involved in the interface.</p>		<p>Motion on behalf of the IT committee to utilize Get Insured as our Information Technology company for the exchange in the state of New Mexico by Ben Slocum Second Dr. Waldman</p>		
---	--	---	--	--

Committee will hear any recommendation in case the amount of the \$39.4 million goes over the budget.				
<b>Agenda Topics:</b>	Exchange Models			
<b>Discussion:</b>	<b>Conclusions:</b>	<b>Action Items:</b>	<b>Responsibility:</b>	<b>Due:</b>
<p>May 30<sup>th</sup> is the deadline to let CCIIO know the exchange model we want to be in the state.</p> <p>All the models have three components          -Enrollment and eligibility          -Plan management all the rates and plan          -Outreach and Education</p> <p>State Based Exchange model where all the requirements are performed by the state</p> <p>Supportive State Based Exchange model the Feds will provide the platforms for enrollment and eligibility for both the SHOP and Individuals; DOI will do the Plan Management and HIX consumer assistant</p> <p>Hybrid Exchange model gives the State the opportunity to manage the SHOP by HIX and the individual market through the Federal platform.</p> <p>Benefits of the Hybrid is that the SHOP based state exchange will be manage by HIX, the state will have a chance to stabilize, learn, and improve the system before we take over the individual system. The SHOP is like the Alliance now, so there is experience and customer service available.</p> <p>In terms of training with the broker, assisters and navigators hybrid model will bring more options to the table.</p>		<p>Motion to use the Hybrid model for the Exchange at the present time and transition the individual market at a later date by Dr. Waldman          Second Mr. Matt Kennicott.</p>		

<p>For the individuals going to the federal hub, the concern is assuring that their experiences are acceptable. We have a unique group of individuals and if the first experience is not good we can lose them from the system. If the Hybrid is the way to go it is important to have a person that could monitor on a daily basis all the concerns for the individuals enrolling into the fed hub.</p> <p>The big dependency for a state based individual exchange is the connection between Aspen and the Fed system. If HSD could bring an alternative model to move closer to the time we need to be ready, that is an option to consider. Once ASPEN is ready we can move the individuals from the Federal system to the State. ASPEN system is under testing right now.</p> <p>The reason for May 30<sup>th</sup> deadline to CCIIO is due to all the testing needed to establish the platform and be ready by October 1<sup>st</sup>.</p>				
<b>Agenda Topics:</b>	Preliminary Plan Of Operations			
<b>Discussion:</b>	<b>Conclusions:</b>	<b>Action Items:</b>	<b>Responsibility:</b>	<b>Due:</b>
<p>The plan of operations will be available to the board for their review and consideration for approval at the June 7<sup>th</sup> board meeting. This is a preliminary plan of operations that NMHIX is working with and it will be finalized at the next board meeting. This preliminary plan of operations met the requirements under the NMHIX statute.</p>	<p>Need a new MOU with HSD so funding will be transferred to NMHIX and able to hire personnel as soon as possible.</p> <p>Provided a revised staffing plan to the board next meeting.</p>	<p>Motion to give the authorization to CEO to hire staff by Dr. Martin Hickey Second Mr. Matt Kennicott.</p>		
<b>Agenda Topics:</b>	NMMIP update-Jason Sandel			
<b>Discussion:</b>	<b>Conclusions:</b>	<b>Action Items:</b>	<b>Responsibility:</b>	<b>Due:</b>
<p>New Mexico Medical Insurance Pool update. The federal pool program has currently 1,568</p>	<p>NMMIP will be an item for discussion on June 7<sup>th</sup></p>			

<p>individuals enrolled. The negotiations with the federal government are around a reduction on funding that will be available for these individuals; from \$15 million to \$12.2 million. Option for this group is to go to another Federal Plan, cancel their coverage or transfer them to the NM state pool. A decision by NMMIP Board will be made next Tuesday morning. Any decision will affect the market in the state of New Mexico.</p>				
<b>Agenda Topics</b>	Public Comments			
<b>Discussion:</b>	<b>Conclusions:</b>	<b>Action Items:</b>	<b>Responsibility:</b>	<b>Due:</b>
<p>Cost analysis between hybrid and state exchange and the impact of the employer mandate will be referred to CEO Mike Nunez to answer those questions</p> <p>The Native Americans had demonstrated preference on the Hybrid model, would like to know about the liaison for this group to start working on the issues surrounding the exchange.</p> <p>Consumers should be part of this process; would like to see a structured feedback loop.</p>				
<b>Agenda Topics</b>	Adjourned			
<b>Discussion:</b>	<b>Conclusions:</b>	<b>Action Items:</b>	<b>Responsibility:</b>	<b>Due:</b>
Next meeting June 7 <sup>th</sup> at 9 AM		Moved to adjourned by Dr. J.R. Damron Second Ben Slocum		